# Quality Assessment Report

| | |
|---|---|
| **Document Name:** | A_systematic_review_and_meta-analysis_of_integrated_studies_on_antim |
| **Assessment ID:** | 3 |
| **Document Type:** | Systematic Review |
| **Assessment Tool:** | AMSTAR 2 (A MeaSurement Tool to Assess systematic Reviews) - 16 ite |
| **Report Generated:** | November 25, 2026 at 10:27:48 |

## Assessment Summary

| | |
|---|---|
| **Overall Quality Score:** | **75.0% (Good)** |
| **Total Criteria Evaluated:** | **16** |
| **Criteria Passed:** | **12** |
| **Criteria Failed:** | **4** |

## Quality Score Interpretation & Recommendations

| Score | Rating | Interpretation & Recommendation |
|---|---|---|
| 80-100% | **Excellent** | High quality study with minimal concerns. Include with high confidence. |
| 70-79% | **Good** | Good quality with minor limitations. Include with moderate confidence. |
| 60-69% | **Fair** | Moderate quality with some issues. Include with caution, note limitations. |
| 0-59% | **Poor** | Low quality with significant concerns. Consider exclusion or major caveats. |

### Assessment for This Document (75.0% - Good)

This study shows good methodological quality with only minor limitations. It should be included in your systematic review with moderate confidence. Consider noting any identified limitations in your quality assessment summary.

Generated by AI-Powered Literature Quality Assessment Platform